

UNITED STATES SPECIFICATION

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN that I, Heinz Lindenmeier, a citizen of Germany, having an address of Fürstenrieder Str. 7b, D-82152 Planegg, Germany, have invented certain new and useful improvements in a

SCANNING ANTENNA DIVERSITY SYSTEM FOR FM RADIO FOR VEHICLES

of which the following is a specification.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The invention relates to a scanning antenna diversity system for FM radio for vehicles, having an antenna system with a controllable logic switching device, in which a different high-frequency reception signal, in terms of diversity, is passed to a receiver with different switching positions, in each instance, and an intermediate frequency signal derived from this reception signal turns on a diversity processor, which switches the logic switching device into different switching position if there is reception interference.

2. The Prior Art

Switching arrangements for antenna systems are generally known from German patents DE 35 17 247 A1, and DE 101 02 616 A1. In the case of the antenna diversity reception systems for the elimination of interference during the reception of frequency-modulated radio broadcasts described there, a number of antenna signals are passed to a diversity processor, wherein a selected antenna signal is switched through to the receiver at any point in time. This

high-frequency signal is converted to the intermediate frequency range (IF) in the receiver, and this IF signal is passed to the diversity processor for the recognition of interference. If interference is recognized, switching signals for switching to a different antenna signal are derived in the diversity processor. In this way, audio-frequency interference caused by multi-path reception can be avoided, if adequate signal reception conditions are present.

The scanning antenna diversity systems of the prior art, such as that known from German Patent DE 44 03 612, receive interference at an antenna due to the superimposition of several partial waves having different amplitudes, phase differences and time differences at the reception location. The resulting level collapses are correlated with frequency interference dispersion peaks, and cause undesirable linear signal distortions as a function of the modulation content in the audio frequency range. If a certain predetermined frequency interference dispersion peak is exceeded, i.e. if an interference-related amplitude modulation is exceeded, the system's interference detector recognizes interference directly, and causes a switch to be made to another available antenna signal, or to a different linear combination formed

in an antenna matrix. In this manner, all of the available RF signals are checked for interference and switched through to the receiver, one after the other. The interference energy taken up during the interference recognition time becomes audible, and further reduces the audio signal quality as this search process repeats itself in rapid sequence, and more interference energy falls into the audio channel due to an extended interference recognition time. Therefore the interference recognition time must be made as short as possible. These processors indicate the interference particularly accurately if they are set for simultaneity of the interference in the frequency deviation and the interference amplitude modulation

Prior art diversity processors having a momentary interference indicator, recognize interference if, for example, the frequency deviation threshold or the amplitude interference modulation threshold is exceeded. Noise interference is recognized in the momentary interference indicator only if the actual momentary value exceeds the predetermined threshold by which the interference is being measured. The minimal interference recognition time for a sudden interference that exceeds the predetermined

thresholds, e.g. on the basis of adjacent channel, same channel, or intermodulation interference, is limited by the bandwidth of the intermediate frequency channel in processors of this type, and amounts to 30 to 50 μ s. An interference recognition time of $< 100 \mu$ s can therefore be assured. For interference intervals of the high-frequency carrier, or intermediate-frequency carrier, at values between 6 dB and 12 dB, the actual value of a frequency deviation of 75 kHz, for example, is connected with undesirable long recognition times.

Particularly in the case of stereo reception, such $(S/N)_{IF}$ values acoustically already result in a clearly noisy signal and are significantly too long to achieve a satisfactory diversity function for this operating state. In the case a prior art interference detector, it is therefore practical if the frequency deviation threshold is regulated as a function of the actual average frequency deviation, in accordance with frequency modulation. Even in the case of slight average frequency deviations (e.g. program contents having a low volume) and a frequency deviation threshold regulated to 40 kHz, for example, the response time is typically 500 μ s at a signal of $(S/N)_{IF} = 9$ dB and typically

10 ms at $(S/N)_{IF} = 12$ dB. There are therefore reception situations in which the actual reception signal is clearly noisy, and the interference detector is too slow in time in order to recognize interference, and switch the high-frequency reception signal to a better reception signal. These response times all exceed the tolerable measure for guaranteeing perfect reception behavior in the presence of a noisy signal. It is true that the bandwidth of the IF channel is large enough so that the aforementioned interference caused by adjacent channel, same channel, or intermodulation interference can be recognized at a sufficiently early point in time, but because of the particular statistical properties of a noisy signal, an interference detector of this type is suitable for the recognition of noise only under certain conditions.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the invention, in the case of a scanning antenna diversity system for FM radio for vehicles, to shorten the interference recognition time for the sudden occurrence of a noisy reception signal, as compared with the state of the art and, in this connection, to improve the subjectively perceived listening signal

quality with regard to the dynamic behavior of the scanning antenna diversity system, in an economically efficient manner.

This task is accomplished by a scanning antenna diversity system for FM radio for vehicles, having an antenna system with a controllable logic switching device in which a different high-frequency reception signal, in terms of diversity, is passed to a receiver with different switching positions, in each instance. An IF reception signal derived from this reception signal turns on a diversity processor, which switches the logic switching device into a different switching position if there is reception interference. The diversity processor has a first interference detector, whose momentary interference indicator signal is obtained without delay from the momentary value, in terms of time, of the IF reception signal of the receiver, limited to the IF bandwidth, by means of determining the interference-related occurrence of impermissible momentary values of the frequency and the amplitude of this signal. There is also provided a second interference detector, whose interference indicator signal is obtained from the same IF reception signal but from time-integral determination of the interference signal

contents in frequency gaps kept free of the wanted signal according to the signal standardization of the FM multiplex signals of the FM demodulated IF reception signal. The two interference indicator signals are then passed to a logic circuit which generates a logic control signal. This signal controls the antenna logic switching system so that a different switching position is selected at the earliest possible point in time after interference occurs in the reception signal.

It is advantageous to design the diversity processor of the system to recognize the noisy signal in a time that is significantly shorter than with the momentary interference indicator signal of the prior art interference detector. This is particularly important in the case of signals in which the noise component is still disruptive, but the signal is already clearly audible. This advantage can be achieved in extremely efficient manner by means of using a further detector according to the invention. Other antenna diversity scanning systems work with several antenna signals that are received at the same time by converting them into the intermediate frequency range and subsequently making the phases equal. These methods result in an improvement of the

signal/noise ratio, but are extremely complicated, since conversion to the intermediate frequency range is required twice, and is limited to the use of only two antenna signals. The result achieved with the present arrangement is that the noise in the signal is practically no longer perceived, because it is recognized at a sufficiently early time after it occurs, and therefore the antenna signals are switched over in a technically simple and economically efficient manner.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description considered in connection with the accompanying drawings. It is to be understood, however, that the drawings are designed as an illustration only and not as a definition of the limits of the invention.

In the drawings, wherein similar reference characters denote similar elements throughout the several views:

Fig. 1 shows a scanning antenna diversity system according to the state of the art;

Fig. 2a shows a frequency deviation as a function of

time for a $(S/N)_{IF} = 9$ dB;

Fig. 2b shows a probability density distribution of the frequency deviation for different signal/noise ratios in the IF level;

Fig. 2c shows an interference recognition time as a function of the frequency deviation for different signal/noise ratios;

Fig. 3 shows a scanning antenna diversity system according to the invention;

Fig. 4 shows a scanning antenna diversity system according to the invention, having second interference detector for evaluating the interference signals;

Fig. 5 shows a frequency assignment of the standardized stereo multiplex signal with additional signals that contain the interference signal;

Fig. 6 shows a scanning antenna diversity system according to Fig. 4, with an additional evaluation of the wanted signal using signal filters to form the S/N ratio close to the audio frequency range; and

Fig. 7 shows a scanning antenna diversity system according to Fig. 6, with additional analog S/N determination and evaluation of the audio quality using a predetermined signal/noise ratio threshold to control different operating modes.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Referring to Fig 1 there is shown a scanning antenna diversity systems of the prior art, such as that known from German Patent DE 44 03 612, for FM radio for vehicles, having an antenna system (1) with a controllable logic switching device (2), in which a different high-frequency reception signal (5), in terms of diversity, is passed to a receiver (3) with different switching positions. An IF reception signal (9) derived from this reception signal (5) at the output of receiver 3 turns on a diversity processor (4), which switches the logic switching device (2) into a different switching position if there is reception interference. The diversity processor has a first interference detector (6) whose momentary interference indicator signal (10) is obtained without delay from the momentary value, in terms of time, of the IF reception signal (9) of the receiver (3), which is limited to the IF bandwidth, by means of determining the interference-related occurrence of impermissible momentary values of the frequency and the amplitude of this signal.

The interference received at antenna 1 due to the superimposition of several partial waves having different

amplitudes, phase differences and time differences cause undesirable linear signal distortions as a function of the modulation content in the audio frequency range. If a certain predetermined frequency interference dispersion peak is exceeded, i.e. if an interference-related amplitude modulation is exceeded, the system's interference detector recognizes interference directly, and causes a switch to be made to another available antenna signal, or to a different linear combination formed in an antenna matrix. In this manner, all of the available RF signals are checked for interference and switched through to the receiver, one after the other. The interference energy taken up during the interference recognition time becomes audible, and further reduces the audio signal quality as this search process repeats itself in rapid sequence, and more interference energy falls into the audio channel due to an extended interference recognition time. Therefore, the interference recognition time must be made as short as possible. These processors indicate the interference particularly accurately if they are set for simultaneity of the interference in the frequency deviation and the interference amplitude modulation. In such systems, the diversity processor of the type TEA 6101 from the Philips company is frequently used as

a rapidly indicating interference detector.

Prior art diversity processors such as the system of Fig. 1 have a momentary interference indicator, and recognize interference if, for example, the frequency deviation threshold at the output of demodulator 17 or the amplitude interference modulation threshold at the output of detector 15 is exceeded. Noise interference is recognized in the momentary interference indicator only if the actual momentary value exceeds the predetermined threshold by which the interference is being measured. The minimal interference recognition time for a sudden interference that exceeds the predetermined thresholds, e.g. on the basis of adjacent channel, same channel, or intermodulation interference, is limited by the bandwidth of the intermediate frequency channel in processors of this type, and amounts to 30 to 50 μ s. An interference recognition time of $< 100 \mu$ s can therefore be assured. For interference intervals of the high-frequency carrier, or intermediate-frequency carrier, at values between 6 dB and 12 dB, the actual value of a frequency deviation of 75 kHz, for example, is connected with long recognition times.

These recognition times are shown in Fig. 2c, for different signal/noise intervals between $0 \text{ dB} \leq (S/N)_{\text{IF}} \leq 12 \text{ dB}$, as a function of the frequency deviation threshold δf set in the processor. Particularly in the case of stereo reception, such $(S/N)_{\text{IF}}$ values acoustically already result in a clearly noisy signal. In this connection, it has been shown that because of the decreasing probability of the occurrence of great frequency variations caused by the momentary value of the noise, the response time of such a first interference detector 6 takes on high values. The broken line in Fig. 2c characterizes the expected response time of $30 \text{ } \mu\text{s}$, and the average response times for exceeding a frequency deviation threshold δf of 75 kHz reaches values of $60 \text{ } \mu\text{s}$ at $(S/N)_{\text{IF}}$ of 3 dB , and increases to 6 ms at $(S/N)_{\text{IF}}$ of 9 dB . At a signal/noise distance of 12 dB , the response time, at clearly greater than 100 ms , is significantly too long to achieve a satisfactory diversity function for this operating state. In the case of a first interference detector 6 in Fig.1 according to the state of the art, it is therefore practical if the frequency deviation threshold δf is regulated as a function of the actual average frequency deviation, in accordance with frequency modulation. Even in the case of slight average frequency deviations (e.g. program

contents having a low volume) and a frequency deviation threshold 18 regulated to 40 kHz, for example, the response time is typically 500 μ s at a signal of $(S/N)_{IF}$ 9 dB and typically 10 ms at $(S/N)_{IF}$ = 12 dB. There are therefore reception situations in which the actual reception signal is clearly noisy, and the first interference detector 6 needs too long a time in order to recognize interference and cause a switch of the high-frequency reception signal 5 to be made to a better reception signal. These response times all exceed the tolerable measure for guaranteeing perfect reception behavior in the presence of a noisy signal. It is true that the bandwidth of the IF channel is large enough so that the aforementioned interference caused by adjacent channel, same channel, or intermodulation interference can be recognized at a sufficiently early point in time, but because of the particular statistical properties of a noisy signal, an interference detector of this type is suitable for the recognition of noise only under certain conditions.

To illustrate the surprising phenomenon that the recognition period for a noisy signal becomes greater with an improving signal/noise ratio, reference is made to the representations in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b. Fig. 2a shows the

frequency interference deviation, caused by noise, of a noisy carrier oscillation for $(S/N)_{IF} = 9$ dB. The average time span between frequency interference deviations that exceed the threshold of 40 kHz in pulse-like manner is approximately 0.4 ms, in this connection, and it is evident that the corresponding time spans would already be significantly greater at a threshold of 50 kHz. These time spans increase with an increasing signal/noise ratio, in such a manner that during the observation period of 10 ms that is shown, the frequency interference variation caused by noise does not even reach the maximal frequency deviation of 75 kHz that is predetermined by standardization for a wanted signal. Observation over a longer period of time produces the result that the average value of this time span is approximately 6 ms, as is evident from Fig. 2c. Observation thus shows that the recognition time of the noise interference is also random, and can also be significantly longer than the average value indicates. To further illustrate the reduction in the probability that a frequency deviation of 75 kHz of a noisy IF carrier oscillation will be exceeded, Fig. 2b shows the probability density distribution of the frequency deviation. The areas of the curve for $(S/N)_{IF} = 0$ dB that have a gray background, and their continuation beyond the region shown,

indicate the probability that exceeding this value will occur. The great decrease in the corresponding areas of the other functions shown allows the strong drop in the probability of exceeding the value with an increasing $(S/N)_{IF}$ to be recognized, and makes the related increase in the average interference recognition time according to Fig. 2c clear. Thus, the subjectively perceived listening signal quality with regard to the dynamic behavior of the scanning antenna diversity system, is greatly improved in an economically efficient manner.

Referring to Fig. 3 there is shown a scanning antenna diversity system having a controllable logic switching device (2), in which a different high-frequency reception signal (5), in terms of diversity, is passed to a receiver (3) with different switching positions. An IF reception signal (9) derived from reception signal (5) turns on a diversity processor (4), which switches the logical switching device (2) into a different switching position if there is reception interference.

The diversity processor 4 of this diversity system, according to the invention, has two detectors. The first

interference detector 6, produces a momentary interference indicator signal 10 without delay from the momentary value of the IF reception signal 9, which is limited to the IF bandwidth, by means of determining the interference-related occurrence of impermissible momentary values of both the frequency and the amplitude of this signal. There is a second interference detector 7, also coupled to receiver 3, and whose interference indicator signal 11 is obtained from the same IF reception signal 9, but from time-integral determination of the interference signal contents in frequency gaps, kept free of the wanted signal, according to the signal standardization of the FM multiplex signals of the FM demodulated IF reception signal 9a. The two interference indicator signals 10, and 11, are passed to a logic circuit 8, to produce at its output, a logic control signal 12.

In logic circuit 8, momentary interference indicator signal 10 and interference indicator signal 11 are evaluated accordingly, in such a manner that even if noise interference occurs, the signal that recognizes the noise interference first, either momentary interference indicator signal 10 or interference indicator 11, causes the logic switching device 2 to be switched to a different switching position, by way of

the logic circuit 8 and the logic control signal 12, and thereby a different high-frequency reception signal 5, in terms of diversity, is applied.

Logic switching device 2 is controlled by the logic control signal 12, so that either a command to switch to the next position yields a sequentially new high-frequency reception signal 5 to receiver 3 or, in an advantageous embodiment of the proposed invention, is equipped with addressable switching positions, so that the logic control signal 12 is configured as an address signal, so that a specially selected high-frequency reception signal 5 is switched through to receiver 3 with every switching command, e.g. in that different antennas are switched with dummy elements.

Fig. 4 shows diversity processor 4 in greater detail, with a combination of a rapidly indicating first interference detector 6, as well as the second interference detector 7. An FM demodulator 17 connected to output 9 of receiver 3 is contained in the diversity processor 4, and its output signal is measured by way of a decider at the frequency deviation threshold 18, so that if the permissible frequency deviation

is exceeded, momentary interference indicator signal 10 is present as a binary signal 14. The first pulse that occurs in the binary signal 14 after the occurrence of interference is therefore the earliest possible point in time for determining a frequency deviation interference. In similar manner, the same IF reception signal 9 can be rectified with the AM rectifier 15, and the IF reception signal 9 can be examined for amplitude collapses and whether the permissible amplitude interference modulation threshold 26 has been exceeded, using a interference amplitude modulation indicator 19 connected to the output of AM rectifier 15. First interference detector 6 is particularly effective in providing a momentary indication to recognize interference if both a pulse caused by exceeding the frequency deviation threshold 18, and a pulse caused by exceeding the amplitude interference modulation threshold 26 occur at the same time, which takes place in the circuit of Fig. 4 in an equivalent manner, and fed to logic circuit 8.

The output signals of the first interference detector 6 are passed to logic circuit 8 as momentary interference indicator signals 10, and can be evaluated there for reporting interference, by way of a logic control signal 12.

Because of the situation that noise interference produces a momentary interference indicator signal 10 only when noise peaks occur, and therefore too late for signals with only weak noise, because of their rarity, a second interference detector 7 is provided to supplement first interference detector 6 where second interference detector 7, indicates the interference even in the case of signals having little noise, within the shortest possible period of time. According to the present invention, second interference detector 7 evaluates the interference energy in frequency ranges that should be free of energy according to signal standardization of the FM multiplex signal of FM demodulated IF reception signal 9a.

The FM multiplex signal is shown with the additional signals in Fig. 5. It is true that the bandwidth of these frequency gaps, "N" is significantly less than the total IF bandwidth, so that an evaluation of the interference signals contained therein is restricted with regard to the detection time. The advantage of the interference determination in these frequency gaps, however, is that it can take place without the presence of the signal, and therefore separate from it. This is in contrast to the method of operation of a detector having the

design of first interference detector 6, in which interference can only be detected if the momentary total signal deviates by an intolerable degree, either by a frequency deviation or by carrier amplitude. The determination of the interference takes place, according to the invention (Fig. 4) by means of rectification of the signals in these frequency gaps, using the rectifier circuit 21, followed by integration element 22, with a suitably selected time constant. At the available bandwidths of the frequency gaps, interference detectors of this type achieve a recognition time for interference that occurs in these frequency gaps of approximately $Dt = 1$ to 5 ms.

In the evaluation of the interference signals in the frequency range above 60 kHz, recognition times $Dt < 1$ ms can be achieved, because of the greater available bandwidth. The reliable adherence to this recognition time is due to the time-integral detection of the interference signal contents in frequency gaps kept free of the wanted signal, according to the signal standardization of the FM multiplex signal of the FM demodulated IF reception signal 9a. The time-integral detection, after rectification of this signal results in adding evaluation of the frequency deviation, independent of its momentary value, if noise occurs suddenly. The evaluation is

therefore not dependent on the statistical occurrence of a frequency deviation that exceeds a predetermined threshold, which occurs according to a certain probability, as is the case in first interference detector 6. Instead, all the interference signals are added up and can be used, depending on the application, as a measure of the average interference, such as if a predetermined threshold is reached, as an interference signal indicated in binary manner, or as an average value at integration element 22 with a time constant. Second interference detector 7, which is presumed to be slower because of its time-integrating property, can, if a noisy signal suddenly occurs, respond more quickly, by several orders of magnitude, than interference detector 6, which is presumed to be fast, with its momentary interference indicator signal 10, which is tied to a frequency deviation threshold 18 that may be exceeded for a moment. Using the present invention, it is therefore contrary to expectations to configure a diversity processor 4 by adding the interference detector type that is presumed to work slowly to the interference detector type that is presumed to work quickly, where this processor allows the shortest possible recognition time for all types of interference, even including signals with weak noise.

A comparison with the recognition times for interference in the first interference detector shown in Fig. 2c, and a maximal frequency deviation threshold therefore results in a significantly shorter recognition time for noise interference with a $(S/N)_{HF} - (S/N)_{IF} > 9$ dB. The resulting interference indicator 11 is also passed on to logic circuit 8, in which a logic control signal 12 is produced for the selection of a different high-frequency reception signal 5 at the earliest possible point in time and, in practice, with sufficient speed. Moreover, the interference that occurs in the frequency gaps is not caused only by noise, but also by linear distortions of the wanted signal in these frequency areas. These occur, for example, due to frequency-dependent group running times, which occur in the multi-path reception area, particularly due to the superimposition of electromagnetic waves having running times that are different and cannot be ignored.

To evaluate the interference N in Fig. 5, according to the invention, the frequency range that is between the frequency range for the sum signal and the frequency range for the difference channel is suitable. Moreover, the frequency range above the frequency range for the different channel, i.e. above the RDS channel, or in other words above approximately 60 kHz,

is suitable.

In the circuit of Fig. 6, it is practical if the uncoupling of the interference contents N takes place above 60 kHz, in filter 20, using a high-pass filter 29, and that uncoupling of the frequency range between approximately 15 kHz and 23 kHz takes place using a band-pass 30 with a frequency trap for the pilot tone (19 kHz). Using a combined diversity processor 4 as shown in the circuit of Fig. 6, according to the invention, the wanted signal contents S are additionally obtained from the frequency ranges configured for the wanted signal according to the signal standardization of the FM multiplex signal of the FM demodulated IF reception signal 9a, using wanted signal filters 23 in frequency filter 20. Using a rectifier circuit 21 coupled to the output of filter 20, followed by integration element 22 with a suitable time constant, in each instance, both the wanted signal energy S and the interference contents N are then separately available, so that the signal/noise ratio S/N can be determined and is available in logic circuit 8, as a measure for the assessment of the audio signal quality 16. Using the interference indicator 11 of second interference detector 7 or the momentary interference indicator signal 10 of first interference detector 6, each structured using analog

technology, a signal/noise ratio threshold 24 can be present in the computer program when using a programmable microprocessor 13 with A/D conversion on the input side, for the logic circuit 8, on the basis of which the program sequence is selected, which evaluates the momentary interference indicator 10 and the interference indicator 11 according to size and time elapsed, in order to configure switch-over commands using the logic control signal 12, with the logic linking of these assessments.

In Fig. 7 there is shown another exemplary embodiment of second interference detector 7, wherein the S/N formation takes place in a block that works in analog manner, at the output of which the signal for the audio signal quality 16 is present, whereby the binary information with regard to whether a predetermined signal/noise ratio threshold 24 was exceeded is determined at a subsequent decider, and a corresponding signal is issued to logic circuit 8.

In a particularly advantageous embodiment of the present invention, the indicators of second interference detector 7 are used to control different operating modes of the diversity system. In this connection, the signal/noise ratio S/N determined using second interference detector 7 is used to

monitor the audio signal quality 16 and to switch among different operating modes of the system.

If high-frequency reception signal 5 changes too frequently in areas that are characterized by overly weak signal levels or overly great running time differences of the incident waves in the Rayleigh reception field, a clearly perceptible interference signal in the form of "crackling" is superimposed on the reception signal on the LF level. This impression of interference is dependent, for example, on the driving speed of the vehicle, but can particularly be clearly perceived in a standing vehicle and is annoying, because the driving noises that are otherwise present while driving are eliminated. The demand with regard to audio quality is therefore not as great because of the driving noises, such as wind noises or noises caused by the road surface, as well as engine noises. These moving sounds partly cover these "crackling noises," more than when driving slow.

In a particularly advantageous embodiment of the invention, the signal/noise ratio S/N that is present in the microprocessor 13 for program control, which ratio represents the audio signal quality 16, is therefore used to monitor the dynamic control of

the scanning antenna diversity system. The indicator speed for the signal/noise ratio S/N of second interference detector 7 is completely sufficient for this control, even at the greatest driving speed, in the FM-Rayleigh reception field.

In an exemplary advantageous embodiment of this control, the following operating modes are described:

Well supplied radio reception areas:

(Sufficient average audio signal quality 16, the signal/noise ratio is sufficiently great, on the average.)

Interference indicator mode:

In the interference indicator mode, first interference detector 6, with its momentary interference indicator 10, causes a switch to a different predetermined high-frequency reception signal 5 if the frequency deviation threshold 18 and/or the amplitude interference modulation threshold 26 is/are exceeded; likewise, a switch takes place if the signal/noise ratio S/N goes momentarily below the signal/noise ratio threshold 24 by means of an interference indicator 11 by second interference detector 7, at time t_1 , but only if first interference detector 6 has not indicated any interference during the time period t_1 -

$Dt < t < t_1$, whereby Dt is the recognition time of the second interference detector 7.

Updating cycle:

The system is switched in the interference indicator mode described above, and the available high-frequency reception signals 5 are cyclically selected, and

a) the audio signal quality is determined during the turn-on time of a signal, in each instance, using second interference detector 7, and stored in the memory of microprocessor 13, and sorted by quality, so that a ranking of the high-frequency reception signals 5 is formed, or

b) the audio signal quality 16 is determined using the measured time lengths, i.e. the turn-on times of the high-frequency reception signal 5 that is turned on, in each instance, and the evaluation of the turn-on time as audio quality, and these are stored in the memory of microprocessor 13 for the individual signals, and sorted by quality, so that a ranking of the high-frequency reception signals 5 is formed.

Signal detection mode:

The system is switched in the above interference indicator

mode and the available high-frequency reception signals 5 are selected from the ranking so that the signal with the best audio signal quality 16, in each instance, is selected when a switch takes place.

Momentary turn-on mode:

An advantageous program sequence results in that the system is operated in the signal selection mode and is interrupted by the updating cycle at suitably selected time intervals 28, and after this cycle has been run through, the signal selection mode is activated again. In an advantageous embodiment of the invention, time intervals 28 are adapted to the changing amplitude of the high-frequency reception signal 5, which changes as a result of the driving speed, according to the Rayleigh field distribution, and are selected to be shorter at an increasing driving speed. In another advantageous embodiment of the invention, time intervals 28 are derived from the time intervals between signal switches that are determined, so that if shorter time intervals are determined, smaller time intervals 28 are set for more frequent updating of the ranking of the high-frequency reception signals 5.

Poorly supplied radio reception areas:

(The audio signal quality 16 is not sufficient, the signal/noise ratio is too small, on the average.)

S/N mode:

In such reception areas, interference detectors such as first interference detector 6 have the tendency to indicate interference too frequently. In these cases, it is frequently advantageous to configure logic circuit 8 in such a manner that when an inadequate audio signal quality 16, averaged over time, is determined by second interference detector 7, in combination with momentary interference indicator signals 10 that follow one another at very small time intervals, the latter are ignored when forming the logic control signal 12, and the logic control signal 12 is exclusively derived from the interference indicator 11 of second interference detector 7.

S/N updating cycle:

The system is switched in the S/N mode described above, and the available high-frequency reception signals 5 are cyclically selected, and the control of the system can advantageously take place analogous to the updating cycle a) indicated above, or b) on the basis of the audio signal quality.

S/N signal detection mode:

The system is switched in the above S/N mode and the available high-frequency reception signals 5 are selected from the ranking so that the signal with the best audio signal quality 16, in each instance, is selected when a switch takes place.

S/N switching mode:

If the average signal/noise ratio S/N is too small, it is advantageous to set a program sequence that is analogous to the momentary turn-on mode described above, in which the system is operated in the S/N signal selection mode, and is interrupted by the S/N updating cycle at suitably selected time intervals 28, and after this cycle has been run through, the S/N signal selection mode is activated again. Time intervals 28 are suitably selected, as described above, and dynamically adjusted, if necessary.

Accordingly, while only a few embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described, it is obvious that many changes and modifications may be made thereunto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.